(1) Single Bench (3)

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR.

WRIT PETITION No.4980/2004

PETITIONER : Chandra Bhushan Sinha, S/o Shri Bihari Lal Sinha, aged about 60 years, Retired P.T.I. Teacher, R/o Darawesh Shekh Hussain Baba, Chilla Sharif, Nagri PO/AT. - Nagri, District Dhamtari.(C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary General Administration Department , Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan , Raipur (C.G.)

2. State of Madhya Pradesh Through the Secretary General Administration Department , Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal, (M.P.)

3. Union of India, Through the Secretary, The Department of Personnel & Training , 3rd floor, Loknayak Bhawan , Khan Market, New Delhi

4. The Director, The Directorate of Public Education Raipur (C.G.)

5. The District Education Officer, District Raipur (C.G.).

6. The Joint Director, Treasury, Account, Pension, Raipur Division, Raipur. (C.G.)

P.R. No. 4803/04 Presented by Shri Satyendra Sahu dated 15.12.04

NOTARY R.K. Jangdey NOTARY (ADVOCATE) RAIPUR (C.G.) Regd. No. 01/26/02 GOVT. OF CHHATTISGARH

(2) (4)

7. The District Treasury Officer,
District Treasury Raipur, (C.G.)

8. The Principal,
Govt. H. S. School Parastarai
(Dharsiwa) District Raipur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUENCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBTION, CERTIORARI, AND ANY OTHER WRITS, DIRECTIONS OR ORDERS.

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 4980 of 2004

Petitioner Chandra Bhushan Sinha

Versus

Respondents State of Chhattisgarh & others.

Shri N.K. Vyas, counsel for the petitioner.

Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Government Advocate for the respondents No. 1, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8.

None for the respondents No. 2 and 3.

ORAL ORDER

(2nd May, 2006)

The following order of the Court was passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has filed Original Application, being O.A. No. 273/2000 before the Madhya Pradesh State Administrative Tribunal, Bench at Raipur for the same relief, which he has sought for in this petition.

2. On dissolution of the State of the State Administrative Tribunal, the said O.A. No. No. 273/2000 has been transferred to this Court. In view of the facts of the case, the petitioner wants to withdraw this petition, to which learned counsel for the respondents has no objection.

3. Consequently, the writ petition stands dismissed as withdrawn. The O. A. number be registered and place before the Court for orders. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu